



Fixed points on two complete D-metric spaces

J. K. Verma

¹ Department of Mathematics, Govt. P. G. College, Panna, Madhya Pradesh, INDIA

* Correspondence: E-mail: jk.verma87@yahoo.com

(Received 14 Aug, 2015; Accepted 21 Aug, 2015; Published 24 Aug, 2015)

ABSTRACT: The measures of nearness between two or more objects with respect to a specific characteristic are parameter of the nearness. In D-metric space thereby which it has been possible to determine the geometrical nearness, generalized metric D is a slight variant of two metric. Fisher^{4 & 5} obtained related fixed point theorems on two complete metric spaces. Inspired by his work, in this paper we prove a related fixed point theorem on two complete D-metric spaces.

Keywords: D-metric space; complete metric space and fixed point.

INTRODUCTION: Gähler^{6 & 7} introduced the concept of 2-metric and Dhage¹ introduced the concept of generalized metric space (D-metric space) and proved several fixed point theorems in this space. Further, Rhoades⁹, Raju Rao and Bhishma Rao⁸, Veerapandi and Chandrashekhar Rao¹¹, Dhage, Pathan and Rhoades³, Singh and Sharma¹⁰ etc. also used this concept in a fixed point frame work.

PRELIMINARIES

DEFINITION 1: Let X be a non-empty set. A *generalized metric* (or *D-metric*) on X is a function from $X \times X \times X$ to the set of real numbers satisfies the following conditions:

(D-1) $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$ and equality holds if and only if $x = y = z$,

(D-2) $D(x, y, z) = D(y, x, z) = \dots$ (Symmetry),

(D-3) $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z)$ (Rectangle inequality), for all x, y, z, a in X.

The pair (X, D) is called *generalized metric space* (or *D-metric space*).

The following functions given by Dhage [1] defined on $X \times X \times X \rightarrow R$, where X is a non-empty set, as

(i) $D(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$,

(ii) $D(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$

for all x, y, z in X, are *D-metrics*, where d is ordinary metric on X.

DEFINITION 2: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in a D-metric space X is said to be *D-convergent* and converges to a point $x \in X$, if for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that

$D(x_m, x_n, x) < \epsilon$, for all $m, n \geq n_0$.

DEFINITION 3: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in a D-metric space X is said to be *D-Cauchy*, if for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that

$D(x_m, x_n, x_p) < \epsilon$, for all $m > n, p \geq n_0$.

DEFINITION 4: A D-metric space (X, D) is said to be *complete* if every D-Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X.

DEFINITION 5: A self-map T of a D-metric space X is said to be *continuous* if $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$, whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Fisher^{4 & 5} obtained related fixed point theorems on two complete metric spaces. Inspired by his work, we prove a related fixed point theorem on two complete D-metric spaces. Before stating our results, we mention a lemma given by Dhage² which will be required in the sequel.

LEMMA 1: (D-Cauchy Principle). Let $\{y_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in a D-metric space X with D-bound k satisfying

$D(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_m) \leq \lambda^n k$

for all $m > n \in N$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Then $\{y_n\}$ is D-Cauchy.

MAIN RESULTS:

We prove the following related theorem:

THEOREM: Let (X, D_1) and (Y, D_2) be bounded and complete D-metric spaces. If T is a continuous mapping of X into Y and S is a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

(1) $D_1^2(STx, STx', STx'') \leq \alpha \max \{D_1(x, STx, STx')$

$D_1(x', STx', STx'')$,

$D_1(x, x', STx') D_1(x', x'', STx'')$,

$$D_1(x, x', x'') D_1(STx, STx', STx''),$$

$$D_1(x', STx', STx'') D_2(Tx, Tx', Tx''),$$

$$(2) \quad D_2^2(TSy, TSy', TSy'') \leq \alpha \max \{D_2(y, TSy, TSy')$$

$$D_2(y', TSy', TSy''),$$

$$D_1(y, y', STy') D_2(y', y'', TSy''),$$

$$D_2(y, y', y'') D_2(TSy, TSy', TSy''),$$

$$D_2(y', TSy', TSy'') D_1(Sy, Sy', Sy'')\},$$

for all x, x', x'' in X and y, y', y'' in Y, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y. Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

PROOF: Let x be an arbitrary point in X. Define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and Y respectively by $x = x_0$, $(ST)^n x = x_n$, $T(ST)^{n-1} x = y_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By inequality (1), we have

$$D_1^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = D_1^2(STx_{n-1}, STx_n, STx_{n+1})$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \{D_1(x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1}, STx_n) D_1(x_n, STx_n, STx_{n+1}),$$

$$D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, STx_n) D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, STx_{n+1}),$$

$$D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) D_1(STx_{n-1}, STx_n, STx_{n+1}),$$

$$D_1(x_n, STx_n, STx_{n+1}) D_2(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, x_{n+1})\}$$

$$= \alpha \max \{D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}),$$

$$D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}),$$

$$D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}),$$

$$D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})\}$$

which implies that

$$D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha \max \{D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}), D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})\}.$$

Now, there are two cases:

Case I (a): If $\max\{D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}), D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})\} = D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})$, then $D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})$ and it now follows by induction that

$$D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha^n D_1(x_0, x_1, x_2).$$

Case I(b): If $\max\{D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}), D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})\} = D_1(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})$, then

$$(3) \quad D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}).$$

By (2), we have

$$D_2^2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) = D_2^2(TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n, TSy_{n+1})$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \{D_2(y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n) D_2(y_n, TSy_n, TSy_{n+1}),$$

$$D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, TSy_n) D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, TSy_{n+1}),$$

$$D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) D_2(TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n, TSy_{n+1}),$$

$$D_2(y_n, TSy_n, TSy_{n+1}) D_1(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n, Sy_{n+1})\}$$

$$= \alpha \max \{D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}),$$

$$D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}),$$

$$D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}),$$

$$D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})\}$$

which implies that

$$D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \alpha \max \{D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})\}.$$

Case II(a): If $\max \{D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})\} = D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1})$,

Then; $D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \alpha D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1})$ and it follows by induction that

$$D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \alpha^{n-1} D_2(y_1, y_2, y_3).$$

Case II(b): If $\max \{D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})\} = D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})$, then

$$(4) \quad D_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \alpha D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

From (3) and (4), we get

$$D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha^2 D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

By induction, we again have

$$D_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha^{2n} D_1(x_0, x_1, x_2).$$

Letting n tend to infinity in all cases, it now follows by Lemma 1 that $\{x_n\}$ is a D-Cauchy sequence with a limit z in X and $\{y_n\}$ is a D-Cauchy sequence with a limit w in Y.

Using continuity of T, we now have

$$w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Tz.$$

Further, using (1), we get

$$D_1^2(STz, x_n, x_{n+1}) = D_1^2(STz, STx_{n-1}, STx_n)$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \{D_1(z, STz, STx_{n-1}) D_1(x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1}, STx_n),$$

$$D_1(z, x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1}) D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, STx_n),$$

$$D_1(z, x_{n-1}, x_n) D_1(STz, STx_{n-1}, STx_n),$$

$$D_1(x_{n-1}, STx_{n-1}, STx_n) D_2(Tz, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)\}$$

$$= \alpha \max \{D_1(z, STz, x_n) D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}),$$

$$D_1(z, x_{n-1}, x_n) D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}),$$

$$D_1(z, x_{n-1}, x_n) D_1(STz, x_n, x_{n+1}),$$

$$D_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) D_2(Tz, y_n, y_{n+1})\}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we obtain

$$D_1^2(STz, z, z) \leq \alpha \max \{D_1(z, STz, z) D_1(z, z, z),$$

$$D_1(z, z, z) D_1(z, z, z),$$

$$D_1(z, z, z) D_1(STz, z, z), D_1(z, z, z) D_2(Tz, w, w)\}$$

$$= 0$$

which yields

$$STz = z = Sw.$$

Similarly, applying (2), we have

$$D_2^2(TSw, y_n, y_{n+1}) = D_2^2(TSw, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n)$$

$$\leq \alpha \max \{D_2(w, TSw, TSy_{n-1}) D_2(y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n),$$

$$D_2(w, y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}) D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, TSy_n),$$

$$D_2(w, y_{n-1}, y_n) D_2(TSw, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n),$$

$$D_2(y_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n) D_1(Sw, Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n)\}$$

$$= \alpha \max \{D_2(w, TSw, y_n) D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}),$$

$$D_2(w, y_{n-1}, y_n) D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}),$$

$$D_2(w, y_{n-1}, y_n) D_2(TSw, y_n, y_{n+1}),$$

$$D_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) D_1(Sw, x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we simple get

$$D_2^2(TSw, w, w) \leq 0$$

which implies

$$TSw = w = Tz.$$

Hence z is a fixed point of ST and w is a fixed point of TS.

To prove uniqueness of z, suppose that ST has a second fixed point z'. Then by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^2(z', z', z) &= D_1^2(STz', STz', STz) \\ &\leq \alpha \max \{D_1(z', STz', STz') D_1(z', STz', STz), \\ &D_1(z', z', STz') D_1(z', z, STz), \\ &D_1(z', z', z) D_1(STz', STz', STz), \\ &D_1(z', STz', STz) D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz)\} \\ &= \alpha \max \{D_1(z', z', z') D_1(z', z', z), \\ &D_1(z', z', z') D_1(z', z, z), \\ &D_1(z', z', z) D_1(z', z', z), \\ &D_1(z', z', z) D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz)\} \\ &= \alpha \max \{D_1^2(z', z', z), D_1(z', z', z) D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz)\} \end{aligned}$$

which simply yields

$$(5) D_1(z', z', z) \leq \alpha D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz).$$

Now, using (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^2(Tz', Tz', Tz) &= D_2^2(TSTz', TSTz', TSTz) \\ &\leq \alpha \max \{D_2(Tz', TSTz', TSTz') D_2(Tz', TSTz', TSTz), \\ &D_2(Tz', Tz', TSTz') D_2(Tz', Tz, TSTz), \\ &D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz) D_2(TSTz', TSTz', TSTz), \\ &D_2(Tz', TSTz', TSTz) D_1(STz', STz', STz)\} \\ &= \alpha \max \{D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz') D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz), \\ &D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz') D_2(Tz', Tz, Tz), \\ &D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz) D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz), \\ &D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz) D_1(z', z', z)\} \\ &\leq \alpha \max \{D_2^2(Tz', Tz', Tz), D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz), D_1(z', z', z)\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(6) D_2(Tz', Tz', Tz) \leq \alpha D_1(z', z', z)$$

From (5) and (6), we have

$$D_1(z', z', z) \leq \alpha^2 D_1(z', z', z) < D_1(z', z', z)$$

a contradiction. Therefore $z = z'$.

Similarly, we can show that w is a unique fixed point of TS. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

On taking $(X, D_1) = (Y, D_2) = (X, D)$ in Theorem 1, we immediately have

COROLLARY 1: Let (X, D) be a bounded and complete D-metric. Let S and T be self-mappings of X satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (7) D^2(STx, STx', STx'') &\leq \alpha \max \{D(x, STx, STx'), \\ &D(x', STx', STx''), \\ &D(x, x', STx') D(x', x'', STx''), \\ &D(x', x', x'') D(STx, STx', STx''), \\ &D(x', STx', STx'') D(Tx, Tx', Tx'')\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (8) D_2(TSy, TSy', TSy'') &\leq \alpha \max \{D(y, TSy, TSy'), \\ &D(y', TSy', TSy''), \\ &D(y, y', TSy') D(y', y'', TSy''), \\ &D(y, y', y'') D(TSy, TSy', TSy''), \\ &D(y', TSy', TSy'') D(Sy, Sy', Sy'')\} \end{aligned}$$

for all x, x', x'', y, y', y'' in X, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and if T is continuous, then ST has a unique fixed point z and TS has a unique fixed point w in X. Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$ and if $z = w$, then z is the unique common fixed point of S and T.

REFERENCES:

1. Dhage, B.C. (1992) Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 84, 326-336.
2. Dhage, B.C. (1999) A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 91(6), 475-480.
3. Dhage, B.C., Pathan, A. M. and Rhoades, B. E. (2000) A general existence principle for fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 23(7), 441- 448.
4. Fisher, B. (1981) Theorems on common fixed points, *Fund. Math.* 113, 37-43.
5. Fisher, B. (1981) Fixed points on two metric spaces, *Glasnik Matematicki* 16(36), 333-337.
6. Gahler, S. (1963) 2-metrische Raume und ihre topologische struktur, *Math. Nachr.* 26, 115- 118.
7. Gahler, S. (1965) Über die uniformisierbarkeit 2-metrischer Raume, *Math. Nachr.* 28
8. Raju, V. C. C., Rao, K. P. R. and Rao, G. S. S. Bhishma. (2001) Fixed point theorems in D-compact metric spaces, *Indian J. of Math.* 43, 197-202.
9. Rhoades, B. E. (1996) A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 19(3), 457-460.
10. Singh, B. and Sharma, R. K. (2002) Common fixed points via compatible maps in D-metric spaces, *Radovi Matematicki* 11, 145- 153.
11. Veerapandi, T. and Rao, Chandrasekhar. (1995) Fixed point theorems of some multivalued mappings in a D-metric space, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 87, 549-556.