

Physicochemical Parameters and Phenolic Compounds Contents Analysis of Different Imported Honey in Benghazi, Libya

M. H. Ahmida¹, S. A. Bu-Hajer¹, N. H. Ahmida^{2*}, R. S. El Zwaey² and I. A. El Sharaa³

¹Nutrition Department, Faculty of Public Health, Benghazi University, Benghazi, LIBYA ²Environmental Health Department, Faculty of Public Health, Benghazi University, Benghazi, LIBYA ³Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Benghazi University, Benghazi, LIBYA * Correspondence: E-Mail: <u>NPubHealth@gmail.com</u>

(Received 16 Nov, 2016; Accepted 13 Dec, 2016; Published 31 Dec, 2016)

ABSTRACT: The present work aimed to evaluate the quality of five honey samples in respect to their physicochemical parameters and phenolic compounds contents analysis. These honey samples included Saudi; Indian; Argentinean; Spanish and Germany. The studied honey samples were collected from local markets in Benghazi city. The moisture; electrical conductivity; optical density; specific gravity; ash content; water insoluble solids; acidity; reducing sugars; sucrose contents; 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furfuraldehyde (HMF) and phenolic compounds contents were the parameters analyzed in each honey sample, using the typical methods recommended by International Honey Commission. The obtained results where compared with the *Libyan Standard Legislation*. Concerning the physiochemical parameters, most honey samples were found to meet the *Libyan Standard Legislation* for honey. The Germany honey samples showed the highest phenolic compound contents (163.60 \pm 2.04 ppm) while the Spanish honey sample showed the lowest value (48.80 \pm 2.47ppm).

Keywords: Honey; Physicochemical properties; Total Phenolic compounds and Libyan Standard Legislation.

INTRODUCTION: Honey is a natural product produced by bee workers from nectar or honeydew¹. This sweet and flavorful product is one of most complex nature foodstuffs. Honey is mainly composed of carbohydrates (there are up to 75% of sugars mainly fructose and glucose); 0.2-3.0 % proteins that included a number of enzymes (diastase, invertase, glucose oxidase, catalase, etc.) traces of organic acids (oxalic, lactic, malic, tartaric, citric) and free amino acids (proline, phenyl alanine); hydroxamines; vitamins; pigments; flavonoids; phenolic; minerals; water; pollen and wax^{2&3}. These trace contents are known to have distinctive nutritional and therapeutic values and hence have varied applications for enhancing the human health and improving immunity⁴. Honey is richness in nutrients, so it consumed as a high nutritive value food. Honey also has bactericidal properties. Its anti-bacterial properties are due to its low acidity and low level of hydrogen peroxide release. Honey is used to treat disease such as gastrointestinal disorders cough and sore throats, bronchial asthma, tuberculosis; ulcers, earaches, dizziness; constipation; pile; eczema; measles, eye diseases. Honey also has potential therapeutic properties in wounds healing. The moisturizing action of honey around a wound facilitates the healing process and high viscosity of honey inhibits infections to penetrate into the body. Honey has conservation and stimulating properties, it can prevent deteriorative oxidation reactions in food ⁴⁻⁷. In Libya the local production of honey is about 500,000

kg per annum, and most Libyan honey sold directly to customers. This locally produced honeys brings a premium price, ranging from 17 to 30 US\$ per kg. In the other hand, different imported honey sells in grocery stores; it costs approximately US\$ 7 per kg. Libyans prefer to use local honey because of its quality and authenticity⁸. They use local honey in preparing traditional food and a lot of sweet. In addition Libyan people use local honey as a medicine to treatment of different diseases and disorders as a popular home remedy^{8&9}.

In Benghazi markets, there are many types of commercially honey, available from different countries such as Egypt, Tunisia, Algeria, Arabia Saudi, France, Greece, Spain, Italy, India, Argentine and Germany. In despite to the lower price of the imported honey, the Libyan consumers prefer to use local honey. They believe that locally honey is superior than the imported one. Therefore, the present study was conducted to investigate the quality of five different honey brands commercially available on Benghazi markets. The physicochemical characteristic of the imported honey samples will determine and compare their results with Libyan Standard Legislation for honey¹⁰ and with physicochemical parameters of some Libyan honey types published in literature¹¹.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Sample Collection: Five commercial honey samples of different floral sources were purchased from local market in Benghazi, Libya. The brands of honey are imported from different countries including Arabia Saudi; Argentine; India; Spain and Germany. Two different bottles of each brand were analyzed in a total of 10 samples. The information concerning common names of the analyzed honey, years of production and regional data are shown in (Table 1). The samples were left at room temperature (25±2°C) away from light in airtight glass container, until further analysis. The tests and parameters of honey samples were conducted using standard equipments and materials, provided by the well-known international companies, in Food Analysis Laboratories, Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, University of Benghazi, Libya and Analytical Chemistry Laboratories in Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, University of Benghazi, Libya, during March 2014.

Table 1: Flora type and Production data of five d	if-
ferent imported honey in Benghazi, Libya.	

Honey sample	Flora type	Brand, Year of Pro- duction
Saudi Arabia	Multiflora	ALSHIFA, 2013
Indian	Multiflora	SMART BEE, 2012
Argentine	Multiflora	EBIA, 2013
Spanish	Orange	FLORESTA, 2013
German	Black Forest (Forest trees)	BLACK FOREST HOENY, 2013

Procedure: The samples of honey were analyzed to determine moisture; optical density; electrical conductivity; ash content; pH; total acidity; Hydroxymethyl furfural (HMF); sugar and polyphenols contents. All the chemicals and reagents used in this work were of analytical grade.

Moisture of honey samples was determined according to Association of Official Analytical Chemistry (AOAC) method¹² by measuring the refractive index at 20°C of honey sample using a refractometer (*Bellingham and Stanley model Abbe-type refractometer*). Then the corresponding moisture percentage was obtained from the Wedmore`s table¹².

Color intensity of honey samples was detected by measuring the absorbance without honey dilution at 420 nm, using a single beam Spectrophotometer (*UV-VIS Spectrophotometer*, *SPECORD* 40, *Analytik Jena*, *Germany*)¹³.

Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured using a conductivity meter and electrical conductive cell (*Conductometer: Connet 2 Conductivity Meter with*

ATC-HANNA-Instruments) for a solution containing 20 g honey sample in 100 mL distilled water. The conductance is read in mS/cm after temperature equilibrium has been reached¹⁴.

Ash content was determined according to AOAC method. 5 g of honey sample was placed in combustion pot which required preheating to darkness with a gas flame to prevent honey foaming. Then the samples were incinerated at high temperature using electrical furnace. After cooling at room temperature, the obtained ash was weighted.

Water insoluble solids were determined by following Sigth N. and Bath P. K. method¹³.

pH and total acidity (T.A.) of honey was determined by potentiometric titration method using standard NaOH solution. 5 g of honey is quantitatively transferred to a 50 mL volumetric flask and filled to the mark with water. 25 mL of this solution is pipette into a 250 mL beaker then the initial pH is measured using pH-meter (Ino lab WTW) equipped with glass combined electrode (pH-electrode sen Tix 61-B023009AP017). The solution is stirred gently, and then 10 mL of 0.05 M NaOH solution is added into the beaker. The excess NaOH is titrated with 0.025M solution of H₂SO₄ solution. The volume of neutralization, corresponding to pH 7, is detected from the acidbase titration curve. The volumes of neutralization are used to calculate T.A., which are expressed in milliequivalents of sodium hydroxide required to neutralize 1 kg of honey (meq/Kg).

Sugar content, involving reducing sugars (glucose, fructose) and apparent sucrose contents, were determined by Layne-Enyon method using the Fehling's reagent¹². About 5 g of honey sample was transferred to a 250 mL volumetric flask, and then the volume is completed with water. 5 mL of standardized Fehling's solutions A and B were transferred to a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 7.0 mL of water, then 1 mL of 0.1% aqueous methylene blue solution is added as indicator. The solution is heated to boiling and then titrated with honey sample solution until the blue color disappeared. The titration is performed duplicate and the average volume is taken.

Sucrose content was determined by transfer 5 mL of honey solution into 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask, then 45 mL of dist. water and 5 mL concentrated HCl are added. The flask is heated in water bath at 71 °C. After 5 min the flask is cooled to 20 °C during 2 min. The acidic solution is then neutralized using 20% NaOH solution. The volume of the solution is completed to 100 mL with dist. water. The total sugar content was determined by the titration with Fehling's solution as shown in Layne-Enyon method and the sucrose content was obtained by calculating the difference.

Hydroxy Methyl Furfural (HMF) was determined by dissolving 5 g of honey sample in 25 mL dist. water. The obtained solution was treated with a clarifying reagent (0.5 mL of 15% potassium ferrocyanide and 30% Zinc acetate), then the volume was diluted to 50 mL with dist. water. The absorbance of the filtrate is measured at 284 and 336 nm against an aliquot of the filtrate treated with 5 mL 0.20% bisulphite solution. The HMF content (in mg/100 g honey) is calculated using Equation (1)¹².

$$HMF = \left(\frac{A_{284} - A_{336}}{sample weight}\right) * 74.87 \quad \text{---Equation} (1)$$

Phenolic compounds content of honey samples was estimated using a modified spectrophotometric Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C) method¹⁵. 20 g of honey is dissolved in 100 mL deionized water. 20 μ L of the honey solution is pipetted into small tube and diluted with 1.58 mL water and then mixed with 100 μ L of F-C reagent (2N reagent available from *sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy*), the content of tube is mixed well using vortex mixer. After 30 min, 300 μ L of 20% (w/v) sodium bicarbonate solution was added. The reaction was incubate for 30 min at 40°C, and then the absorbance

was measured at 765nm against blank solution. The total phenolic content of each honey sample is obtained from the calibration curve prepared by standard solution of gallic acid. The total phenolic content is expressed as mg gallic acid/100 g of honey sample.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: The physical and chemical properties of five honey samples (including, Saudi Arabia, Argentinean, Indian, Germany and Spanish) are shown in (Tables 2 and 3).

Moisture content of honey samples range from 17.00-18.50%, (Table 2). The highest values were recorded for Saudi honey and the lowest value was recorded for Argentinean honey. The maximum limit of moisture content allowed by the Libyan Standard Legislation for honey is $\leq 17\%^{10}$. However, all the honey samples have little high moisture values, except Argentine honey (17%). The moisture content contributes the honey stability against fermentation, spoiling and granulating during storage. It depends on many factors involving time of extraction; degree of maturity; climate conditions and harvest season; the original moisture of the nectar and the storage conditions^{13&16-18}.

Honey sample	Moisture (%)	Total ash (%)	Water insol- uble solids (%)	Color intensity (AU)	EC (mS/cm)
Saudi Arabia	18.50	0.19	0.02	0.78	0.37
Indian	18.20	0.17	0.04	1.63	0.53
Argentine	17.00	0.13	0.05	1.22	0.31
Spanish	17.80	0.72	0.05	0.75	0.20
German	17.70	2.41	0.07	Out of range	4.60
Libyan Standard Legislation	≤17%	≤ 1%	≤ 0.1%	-	-

Table 2: Some physical characteristics of five different imported honey in Benghazi, Libya.

Table 3: Analysis	of acidity, HMF	and sugar	contents of five	different import	ted honey in	Benghazi, L	Libya
				1	•	0 /	•

Honey sample	рН	T. A (meq/kg)	HMF (mg/kg)	Reduced sugar (%)	Sucrose (%)
1. Saudi Arabia	3.34	26.00	23.80	76.00	4.10
2. Indian	3.76	30.00	34.80	73.00	4.90
3. Argentine	3.63	18.00	26.00	80.50	1.90
4. Spanish	3.53	12.00	12.90	78.50	2.90
5. Germany	5.05	22.33	25.00	75.50	3.10
Libyan Standard Legislation	acidic	<50 meq/Kg	40 mg/kg	> 65%	<5%

Ash content of the selected honey samples were 2.41% for Germany honey, 0.72% for Spanish honey, 0.19% for Saudi honey, 0.17% for Indian honey and 0.13% for Argentine honey (Table 2). The ash contents of the selected honey samples were below the limit allowed by Libyan Standard Legislation for honey ($\leq 1\%$)¹⁰ except Germany honey. Ash content of honey gives an indication of purity and the possibility of adulteration of honey with molasses. It also depends on mineral contents and the materials collected by the worker bees^{18&19}.

Water insoluble solids (Total colloid) content of honey samples ranged from 0.02% to 0.07%, (Table 2). All the values of insoluble water solids were below the maximum allowed limit sets by Libyan Standard Legislation ($\leq 0.1\%$)¹⁰. The values of the insoluble water solids content indicate the cleanness of the honey samples. However, the insoluble water solids content represents the suspended wax particles, insect and vegetable debris of honey^{20&21}.

Color Intensity of the honey are detected by measuring the absorbance of sample at λ_{420} nm¹³. The results of measuring color intensity show that the Spanish sample has the lowest color intensity value (0.75 AU), (Table 2). While Germany honey sample has the highest color intensity (out of instrument reading range), this sample has nearly black color. The high color intensity values indicate that the honey sample has high colored contents and pigments such as carotenoids; flavinoids and phenolic compounds^{22&23}. However, these compounds reflect the antioxidant properties of honey. Also honey color depends on the botanical origin; the amount of suspended particles such as pollen; ash content; exposure to light and the way of handling the combs such use of high temperature or use of old wax combs, for producing hone y^{24} .

Electrical conductivity measurement is used now in routine control of honey sample, instead of determination of ash content. EC is also a good measure criterion index of the botanical origin of honey²⁵. EC values of the selected honey samples are shown in (Table 2). The Germany sample shows the highest value of EC (4.60 mS/cm), followed by Indian and Saudi samples with corresponding values 0.53 and 0.37 mS/cm respectively. Then come Argentinean and Spanish honey with the corresponding EC values 0.31 and 0.20 mS/cm respectively. However, all the samples were with the European Standard Limit (Not more than 0.80 mS/cm)²⁶, except Germany sample. However, the values of conductivity of honey depend on organic acids; proteins; complexes sugars and polyols contents in addition to minerals 27 .

pH values of the selected honey samples are acidic and range from 5.05 to 3.34, as shown in (Table 3). Among the honey samples Saudi honey is the most acidic (pH 3.34) followed by Spanish (pH 3.53), Argentinean (pH 3.63) and then Indian (pH 3.76) honey. The lowest acidic is detected in Germany honey sample (pH 5.05). All the pH values agree with the Libyan Standard Legislation for honey which requiring the pH value for flora honey to be acidic only¹⁰. Although Libyan Standard Legislation lacks the specification of pH values of honey, all the samples are within the allowed limit established European Standards for honey $(3.0-5.6)^{26}$. However, pH of honey is a measure of lactones in honey and pH is a useful index of possible microbial growth. Low pH values inhibit the presence and growth of microorganisms^{28&29}.

Total acidity content of honey is due to the presence of organic acids, particularly gluconic acid, in equilibrium with their lactones and esters and inorganic acids such as phosphate and chloride³⁰. In this study, the highest value of T.A. was recorded for Indian honey (30.00 meq/kg), followed by Saudi honey (26.00 meq/kg), Germany (22.33 meq/kg), Argentinean honey (18.00 meq/kg), then Spanish honey (12.00 meq/kg), (Table 3). The T.A. content varied significantly among the honey samples which may due to variation in harvest season, flora type or mineral content^{28&31}. But none of the honey samples have T.A. values exceed the allowed limit established by Libyan standard Legislation (50 meq/kg)¹⁰.

HMF in honey results from dehydration process of hexose sugars (fructose) catalyzed by acid. HMF provides an indication of the freshness of honey, overheating and storage in poor conditions^{2&32}. In this study, honey samples show HMF content with a maximum value of 34.80 mg/kg for Indian honey. Spanish honey shows the lowest HMF content corresponding to 12.90 mg/kg, (Table 3). The variation in HMF content of the honey samples may be attributed to different factors including pH; flora source; storage conditions and variation of climate of region from where honey had been extracted³³. Although HMF content varied among the selected honey samples, all the honey samples have HMF content values below the allowable limit sets by *Libyan Standard Legislation* (40 mg/kg)¹⁰.

Sugars content represents the most important portion of honey composition, mainly fructose and glucose (reducing sugars). In fact, honey contains other sugars such as saccharose (sucrose); maltose; trehalose; melizitose and others³⁴. In this study, the reduced sugar content of the selected honey samples ranged from 80.50% to 73.00%, (Table 3). The Argentinean and Spanish honey samples have the highest percentage of reducing sugars, corresponding to 80.50% and 78.50%, respectively. All the reducing sugar content values of the honey samples are agree with the Libyan Standard Legislation that should be $\geq 65\%^{10}$. In the other hand, the high *sucrose content* indicates that honey is early harvesting or adulteration of honey with sugar syrup^{4&35}. The non-reducing sugar contents of the honey sample under investigation are varied between 4.90% - 1.90%, (Table 3). The Libyan Standard Legislation sets a maximum allowable limit $\leq 5\%$ for sucrose content¹⁰. All the honey samples are accepted by Libyan Standard Legislation.

In this study, the total phenolic compounds content were recorded at 48.80 mg/gallic acid/100g of Spanish honey followed by 80.20 mg/gallic acid/100g of Saudi honey, 83.50 mg/100g for Argentinean honey, 90.80 mg/100g for Indian honey, then 163.60 mg/100g for Germany honey, (Table 4). Those values indicate the high antioxidant activity of the honey samples. The Germany honey sample, which had the dark color, has been reported to have the highest total phenolic compounds content and consequently the highest antioxidant capacity. The amount and types of polyphenolic compounds in honey are variable and depend on the floral origin³⁶. However, these values of total phenolic compounds contents were similar to the values reported by Ahmida et al. for some types of Libyan honey $(32.20 - 119.40 \text{ mg}/100\text{g})^{11}$.

 Table 4: Total phenolic compounds content of five different imported honey in Benghazi, Libya

Honey sample	Total Phenolic com- pounds (mg Gallic Acid/100g honey sample)
Saudi Arabia	80.20 ± 1.77
Indian	90.80 ± 2.47
Argentine	83.50 ± 1.41
Spanish	48.80 ± 2.47
Germany	163.60 ± 2.04

CONCLUSION: In this study, the some physicochemical parameters and phenolic compounds content of five imported honey samples collected from the local market in Benghazi, Libya, were determined. All the physicochemical parameters of the honey samples were within the limits set by the Libyan Standard Legislation for honey, with a slightly deviated with a parameters like moisture content (most samples) and total ash content (Germany honey). The obtained results indicated that the analyzed honey samples were of good quality had acceptable values for maturity, purity and cleanness. Also, the obtained results indicated that the imported honey samples were rich in phenolic compounds content, which will increase their antioxidant activity and their therapeutic value.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Codex Alimentarius Commission : Revised Codex Standard from Honey Codex Stan 12-1981, Rev.1 (1987), Rev. 2 (2001) "Codex Standard, vol. 12. 1981, pp. 1-7.
- **2.** Anklam, E. (1998) A Review of the analytical methods to determine the geographical and botanical origin of honey, *Food Chemistry.*, 3(4) 549-562.
- **3.** Staniskiene B., Matusevicius P. and Budreckiene R. (2006) Honey as an Indicator of Environmental Pollution, *Environmental Research, Engineering and Management.*, 6, 36(2) 53-58.
- Guler A., Bakan A. Nisbet C. and Yavuz O. (2007) Determination of Important Biochemical Properties of Honey to Discriminate Pure and Adulterated Honey with Sucrose (Saccharum officinarum L.) Syrup, *Food chemistry.*, 105,1119-1125.
- Al-Waili N., Salom K. Al-Ghamid A. and Ansari M. (2012) Antibiotic, Pesticide and Microbial contaminants of Honey: Human Health Hazards, *The Scientific World Journal.*, 1-9 {review}
- 6. Lusby P., Coobes A. and Wikinson J. (2002) Honey-A Potential Agent For Wound Healing, *Journal Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs.*, 29, 295-300.
- 7. Nagai T., Inoue R. kanamori N. Suzuki N. and Nagashima T. (2006) Characterization of Honey from Different Floral Ources. Its Functional Properties And Effects Of Honey Species On Storage Of Meat, *Food chemistry.*, 97(2) 256-262.
- 8. Keshlaf M. (2014) Beekeeping in Libya, International Scholarly and Scientific Research & Innovation., 8(1), 32-35.
- **9.** El-Darier S. and El-Mogaspi F. (2009) Ethnobotany And Relative Importance Of Some Endemic Plant Species At El-Jabal El-Akdar Region (Libya), *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences.*, 5(3) 353-360.
- **10.** Libyan National Center of Standardization and Methodology, (1995) Ministry of Commerce, Libyan Standard Concerning Honey, *Libyan Standard Legislation for Industrial and Non Industrial Food, Concerning Honey.*, 281, 47-52.
- Ahmida M., Elwerfali S. Agha A. Elagori M. and Ahmida N. (2013) Physicochemical, Heavy Metals and Phenolic Compounds Analysis of Libyan Honey Samples Collected From Benghazi During 2009-2010, Food and Nutrition Sciences., 4, 22-40

- **12.** AOAC "Association of Official analytical chemistry-Official Methods of Analysis", AOAC, Arlington 1990.
- 13. Singh N. and Bath P. (1997) Quality Evaluation of Different Types of Indian Honey, *Food Chemistry*., 58(1-2), 129-133.
- Adebiyi F., Akpan I. Obiajunwa I. Olaniyi H. (2004) Chemical and Physical Characterization of Nigerian Honey, *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition.*, 3(5) 278-281.
- **15.** Sangrichan S. and Wanon W. (2008) Antioxidant Capacity of Honey Samples Collected in The North Part of Thialand in Relationship with Total Polyphenols, *KMITL Science Journal.*, 8(2) 68-73.
- **16.** Terrab A., Diez M. and Heredia F. (2003) Palynological Physicochemical and color characterization of Morrocan Honeys. II orange (Citrus sp.) honey, *Internat J. Food Sci. Tecnol.*, 38, 387-394.
- **17.** Whit Jr J. (1975) *The hive and honey bee*, (Dadant and sons, Hamilton, Illinois, USA, 6625-646).
- 18. Krauze A. and Zalewski R. (1991) Classification of Honeys by Principal Component Analysis on the Basis of Chemical and Physical Parameters, (Zeitschrift Fur Lebensmittel-Unter-suchung Und Forschung, 19-23).
- **19.** Osman K., Al-Doghairi M. Al-Rehiayani S. and Helal M. (2007) Mineral Contents and Physicochemical Properties of Natural Honey Produced in Al-Qassim Regions, Saudi Arabia, *JournalFood Agriculture and Environment.*, 5 (3&4) 142-146.
- **20.** Al-Kalifa A. and Al-Arify I. (1999), Physicochemical Characteristics and Pollen Spectrum of Some Saudi Honeys, *Food Chemistry.*, 67, 21-25.
- **21.** Rodger P. (1979) Honey quality Control, in E. Crane, Ed., "Honey: A Comprehensive Survey, (Heinemann, London, 314-325).
- 22. Osman K. and Bogdanov S. (1989) Determination Of Pinocembrin Honey Using HPLC, J. A Pic Res., 28, 55-57.
- **23.** Bogdanov S., Kaspar R. and Oddo L. (2004) Physico-Chemical Methods For The Characterization Of Unifloral Honeys: A review, *Apidologie.*, 35, 514-517.
- 24. Moniruzzaman M., Khalil M. Suliaman S. and Gan S. (2013) Physicochemical and Antioxidants properties of Malaysian Honeys Produced by Apis Cerana, Apis Dorsata and Apis Mellifera. BMC Complementary, *Alternative Med.*, 13, 1-12.
- **25.** Adenekan M., Amusa N. Lawal A. and Okpeze V. (2001) Microbiologgical Properties of Honey

Samples obtained from Ibadan, *Journal Microbiology & Antimicrobial.*, 2(8), 100-104.

- **26.** The Council of The European Union, Concil Directive 2001/110/EC of 20 December 2001 Relating to Honey, *Official Journal of the European Communities.*, Vol. L10, 2002, 47-52.
- 27. Moniruzzaman M., Sulaiman S. Khalil M. and Gan S. (2013) Evaluation of Physicochemical and Antioxidant Properties of Sourwood and other Malaysian Honeys: A Comparison with Manuka Honey, *Chemistry Central Journal.*, 7, 138-150.
- **28.** Abu Tarboush H., Al-Kahtani H. and Ellsarrag M. (1993) Floral-Types Identification and quality Evaluation of Some Honey Types, *Food Chemistry*., 46(1), 13-17.
- **29.** Terrab A., Diez M. and Heredia F. (2002) Characterization of Moroccan Unifloral Honeys by their Physicochemical Characteritics, *Food Chemistry.*, 79, 373-379.
- 30. Echigo T. and Tukenaka T. (1974) Production of Organic Acids in Honey by Honeybees, J. Agric Chem Soc. Janpan., 48, 225-230.
- **31.** Mohammed S. and Babiker E. (2009) Protein Structure, Physicochemical Properties and Mineral Composition of Apis Mellifera Honey Samples of Different Floral Origin, *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, 3(3) 2477-2483.
- **32.** Fallico B., Zappala M. Arena E. Verzera A. (2004) Effects of Conduction on HMF Content in Unifloral Honeys, *Food Chemistry.*, 85(2) 305-313.
- **33.** Gomes S., Dias L. Moreira L. Rodrigues D. and Estevinho L. (2010) Physicochemical, Microbiological and Antimicrobial Properties of Commercial honeys from Portugal, *Food chemistry and Toxicology.*, 48(2) 544-548.
- **34.** Mendes E., Proenca E. Ferreira I. and Ferreira M. (1998) Quality Evaluation of Portugues Honey, *Carbohydrate Polymers.*, 37, 219-223.
- **35.** Umarani S., Eswara V. Keerthika E. Mathumitha K. Elakkiya S. and Bhargava H. (2015) A Relative Study on The Chemical Composition Among The Pure and Branded Honey Types Collected From Diverse Sources of Tamilnadu, India, *World Applied Science Journal.*, 33(3) 401-408.
- **36.** Beretta G., Granata P. Ferrero M. Orioli M. and Facino R. (2005) Standarization of Antioxidant Properties of Honey by a Combination of Spectrophotometric/Fluorimetric Assays and Chemometrics, *Analytica Chimica Acta.*, 533 (2), 185-191.